

# **Inspection Report**

# 1 November 2022



# Fairways - Woodford Park Project

Type of service: Domiciliary Care Agency Address: 30 Woodford Park, Coleraine, BT51 3LJ Telephone number: 028 7032 8009

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

Information on legislation and standards underpinning inspections can be found on our website <u>https://www.rqia.org.uk/</u>

### **1.0** Service information

**Organisation/Registered Provider:** Fairways Woodford Ltd

**Responsible Individual:** Mr Robert Anthony (Tony) Registered Manager: Mrs Laura Kelly (Acting)

**Person in charge at the time of inspection:** Mrs Laura Kelly

#### Brief description of the accommodation/how the service operates:

Fairways Woodford Park Project is a domiciliary care agency, supported living type which provides 24 hour care and support to service users with a learning disability. The service users' accommodation is comprised of four shared bungalows. The service is commissioned by the Northern Health and Social Care Trust (NHSCT).

### 2.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 1 November 2022 between 2.30 p.m. and 5.40 p.m. The inspection was conducted by a care inspector.

The inspection examined the agency's governance and management arrangements, reviewing areas such as staff recruitment, professional registrations, staff induction and training and adult safeguarding. The reporting and recording of accidents and incidents, complaints, whistleblowing, Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS), service user involvement, restrictive practices, dysphagia management and Covid-19 guidance was also reviewed.

Area for improvement identified related to record keeping.

Good practice was identified in relation to service user involvement, adult safeguarding and the monitoring of staffs' registration with the Northern Ireland Social Care Council (NISCC).

### 3.0 How we inspect

RQIA's inspections form part of our ongoing assessment of the quality of services. Our reports reflect how they were performing at the time of our inspection, highlighting both good practice and any areas for improvement. It is the responsibility of the service provider to ensure compliance with legislation, standards and best practice, and to address any deficits identified during our inspections.

In preparation for this inspection, a range of information about the service was reviewed. This included any previous areas for improvement identified, registration information, and any other written or verbal information received from service users, relatives, staff or the Commissioning Trust.

As a public-sector body, RQIA has a duty to respect, protect and fulfil the rights that people have under the Human Rights Act 1998 when carrying out our functions. In our inspections of domiciliary care agencies, we are committed to ensuring that the rights of people who receive services are protected. This means we will seek assurances from providers that they take all reasonable steps to promote people's rights. Users of domiciliary care services have the right to expect their dignity and privacy to be respected and to have their independence and autonomy promoted. They should also experience the individual choices and freedoms associated with any person living in their own home.

Having reviewed the model "We Matter" Adult Learning Disability Model for NI (2020), the Vision states: 'We want individuals with a learning disability to be respected and empowered to lead a full and healthy life in their community'. RQIA reviewed the support individuals were offered to make choices and decisions in their life that focus on enabling them to develop and to live a safe, active and valued life.

Information was provided to service users, relatives, staff and other stakeholders on how they could provide feedback on the quality of services. This included easy read questionnaires and an electronic survey.

## 4.0 What did people tell us about the service?

During the inspection we spoke with a number of staff members.

The information provided indicated that there were no concerns in relation to the agency.

Comments received included:

### Staff comments:

- "Any ideas or concerns regarding the service users are shared with the manager, who acts on them. The manager is approachable. There is a good link with the service user's family. Family are involved in the care review process. We get enough training and we complete on-line training. We get all our mandatory training including manual handling and competency training. We also get on-line epilepsy training. A nurse completes buccal training with us. I love my job. Sleep-in staff work until 11 p.m. and then are on call until 7 a.m. We always have a sleep-in staff member."
- "The manager is approachable and is always in the project and there is definitely an open door policy. I have no concerns. The manager knows all the service users and their day to day activities. There is a lot of training on-line and it is more in-depth. We cover a lot of training. There are thirteen different types of training. We get a lot of time to spend with the service uses in their houses, given the low ratio of service users to staff members and their likes and wishes are taken into account. You get to know what their likes and dislikes are. I have no concerns."

## HSC Trust representatives' comments:

• "There has been a high turnover of staff. However, I have no concerns regarding the level of care. There is a lot of challenging behaviour. It can take some of the new staff time to get to know clients and this has been highlighted by some family members. There is fantastic communication. Any issues are reported immediately. There is a safe level of care being provided from management down."

No responses were received to the electronic staff survey and no questionnaires were returned.

5.0 The inspection
--------------------

## 5.1 What has this service done to meet any areas for improvement identified at or since the last inspection?

The last care inspection of the agency was undertaken on 12 March 2021 by a care inspector. A Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) was issued. This was approved by the care inspector and was validated during this inspection.

Action required to ensur Agencies Regulations (N	Validation of compliance	
Area for Improvement 1 Ref: Regulation 13 (d)	The registered person shall further develop the recruitment process to ensure that staffs' registrations with NISCC are checked.	
Stated: First time	Ref: 5.2.3	Met
	Action taken as confirmed during the inspection: Following review of staffs' registration with NISCC, the inspector confirmed compliance with Regulation 13 (d)	

## 5.2 Inspection findings

### 5.2.1 What are the systems in place for identifying and addressing risks?

The agency's provision for the welfare, care and protection of service users was reviewed. The organisation's adult safeguarding policy and procedures were reflective of the Department of Health's (DoH) regional policy and clearly outlined the procedure for staff in reporting concerns. The organisation had an identified Adult Safeguarding Champion (ASC). The agency's annual Adult Safeguarding Position report was reviewed and found to be satisfactory.

Discussions with the manager established that they were knowledgeable in matters relating to adult safeguarding, the role of the ASC and the process for reporting and managing adult safeguarding concerns.

Staff were required to complete adult safeguarding training during induction and every two years thereafter. Staff who spoke with the inspector had a clear understanding of their responsibility in identifying and reporting any actual or suspected incidences of abuse and the process for reporting concerns in normal business hours and out of hours. They could also describe their role in relation to reporting poor practice and their understanding of the agency's policy and procedure with regard to whistleblowing.

The agency retained records of any referrals made to the Health and Social Care (HSC) Trust in relation to adult safeguarding. A review of records confirmed that these had been managed appropriately.

The manager was aware that RQIA must be informed of any safeguarding incident that is reported to the Police Service of Northern Ireland (PSNI).

Staff were provided with training appropriate to the requirements of their role. Where service users required the use of specialised equipment to assist them with moving, this was included within the agency's mandatory training programme.

A review of care records identified that moving and handling risk assessments and care plans were up to date. Where a service user required the use of more than one piece of specialised equipment, direction on the use of each was included in the care plan.

Care reviews had been undertaken in keeping with the agency's policies and procedures. There was also evidence of regular contact with service users and their representatives, in line with the commissioning trust's requirements.

All staff had been provided with training in relation to medicines management. The manager advised that no service users required their medicine to be administered with a syringe. The manager was aware that should this be required, a competency assessment would be undertaken before staff undertook this task. A review of the medication records identified that staff had not signed the documentation on several occasions. An area for improvement has been identified.

The Mental Capacity Act (MCA) provides a legal framework for making decisions on behalf of service users who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves. The MCA requires that, as far as possible, service users make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When service users lack mental capacity to take particular decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible. Staff who spoke with the inspector demonstrated their understanding that service users who lack capacity to make decisions about aspects of their care and treatment have rights as outlined in the MCA.

Staff had completed appropriate Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS) training appropriate to their job roles. The manager reported that a number of the service users were subject to DoLS. A resource folder was available for staff to reference.

There was a system in place for notifying RQIA if the agency was managing individual service users' monies in accordance with the guidance.

## 5.2.2 What are the arrangements for promoting service user involvement?

From reviewing service users' care records, it was good to note that service users/ representatives had an input into devising their own plan of care. Service users were provided with easy read reports which supported them to fully participate in all aspects of their care. The service users' care plans contained details about their likes and dislikes and the level of support they may require. Care and support plans are kept under regular review and services users and /or their relatives participate, where appropriate, in the review of the care provided on an annual basis, or when changes occur.

# 5.2.3 What are the systems in place for identifying service users' Dysphagia needs in partnership with the Speech and Language Therapist (SALT)?

New standards for thickening food and fluids were introduced in August 2018. This was called the International Dysphagia Diet Standardisation Initiative (IDDSI). A number of service users were assessed by SALT staff with recommendations provided and some required their food and fluids to be of a specific consistency. A review of training records confirmed that the majority of staff had completed training in Dysphagia and in relation to how to respond to choking incidents. The manager advised that the two staff that had not completed Dysphagia training were not supporting service users who had been assessed with SALT recommendations. Training dates had been arranged for these staff to complete this training.

Discussions with staff and review of service users' care records reflected the multidisciplinary input and the collaborative working undertaken to ensure service users' health and social care needs were met within the agency. There was evidence that staff made referrals to the multi-disciplinary team and these interventions were proactive, timely and appropriate. Staff also implemented the specific recommendations of the SALT to ensure the care received in the setting was safe and effective.

### 5.2.4 What systems are in place for staff recruitment and are they robust?

A review of the agency's staff recruitment records confirmed that all pre-employment checks, including criminal record checks (AccessNI), were completed and verified before staff members commenced employment and had direct engagement with service users. Checks were made to ensure that staff were appropriately registered with the NISCC; there was a system in place for professional registrations to be monitored by the manager. Staff spoken with confirmed that they were aware of their responsibilities to keep their registrations up to date.

There were no volunteers working in the agency.

# 5.2.5 What are the arrangements for staff induction and are they in accordance with NISCC Induction Standards for social care staff?

There was evidence that all newly appointed staff had completed a structured orientation and induction, having regard to NISCC's Induction Standards for new workers in social care, to ensure they were competent to carry out the duties of their job in line with the agency's policies and procedures. There was a robust, structured, induction programme which also included shadowing of a more experienced staff member. Written records were retained by the agency of the person's capability and competency in relation to their job role.

The agency has maintained a record for each member of staff of all training, including induction and professional development activities undertaken

All registrants must maintain their registration for as long as they are in practice. This includes renewing their registration and completing Post Registration Training and Learning. The manager was advised to discuss the post registration training requirement with staff to ensure that all staff are compliant with the requirements.

# 5.2.6 What are the arrangements to ensure robust managerial oversight and governance?

There were monitoring arrangements in place in compliance with Regulations and Standards. A review of the reports of the agency's quality monitoring established that there was engagement with service users, service users' relatives, staff and HSC Trust representatives. The reports included details of a review of service user care records; accident/incidents; safeguarding matters; staff recruitment and training, and staffing arrangements.

The Annual Quality Report was reviewed and was satisfactory.

No incidents had occurred that required investigation under the Serious Adverse Incidents (SAIs) or Significant Event Audits (SEAs) procedures.

The agency's registration certificate was up to date and displayed appropriately along with current certificates of public and employers' liability insurance.

There was a system in place to ensure that complaints were managed in accordance with the agency's policy and procedure. No complaints were received since the last inspection

The manager had submitted an application to RQIA for registration as manager; this will be reviewed in due course.

### 6.0 Quality Improvement Plan (QIP)/Areas for Improvement

An area for improvement has identified where action is required to ensure compliance with The Domiciliary Care Agencies Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007.

	Regulations	Standards
Total number of Areas for Improvement	1	0

The area for improvement and details of the QIP were discussed with the manager as part of the inspection process. The timescales for completion commence from the date of inspection.

Quality Improvement Plan Action required to ensure compliance with The Domiciliary Care Agencies Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007				
Stated: First To be completed by: Immediate for the date of the inspection.	This relates specifically to staff signatures recorded on the service user medication records. Ref: 5.2.1			
	<b>Response by registered person detailing the actions taken:</b> All medication trained staff have received refresher training including the need to ensure all medication is signed for once given. the individual who was responsible for the gaps identified have had their medication compentency assessment reviewed and this area will continue to be monitored during regular audits. Following the Inspection, the management team met to discuss areas for improvement and to highlight issues identified during the inspection.			

\*Please ensure this document is completed in full and returned via Web Portal\*





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority

7th Floor, Victoria House 15-27 Gloucester Street Belfast BT1 4LS

Tel028 9536 1111Emailinfo@rqia.org.ukWebwww.rqia.org.ukImage: Orgen constraints of the second constrain

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care